UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

) COURT FILE
UNITED STATES of AMERICA) NO. 14-CR-116 (JNE/HB)

vs.)
Courtroom 12 West
ANTHONY MORRISON) Thursday, October 8, 2015
) Minneapolis, Minnesota

SENTENCING

DAY 1

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN N. ERICKSEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY

By: DAVID P. STEINKAMP

Assistant U.S. Attorney 600 United States Courthouse

300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

For the Defendant: CASCARANO LAW OFFICE

By: CRAIG E. CASCARANO, ESQUIRE 150 South Fifth Street - Suite 3260

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Court Reporter: TIMOTHY J. WILLETTE, RDR, CRR, CBC, CCP

Official Court Reporter - U.S.D.C.

1005 United States Courthouse

300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

612.664.5108

1 (2:45 p.m.)PROCEEDINGS 2 3 IN OPEN COURT (Defendant present) 4 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. United States vs. Morrison. Counsel, would you 6 7 identify yourselves for the record, please. MR. STEINKAMP: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 8 David 9 Steinkamp for the United States. Sarah Hudleston, 10 co-counsel in this case, is with me at the counsel table. 11 MR. CASCARANO: Craig Cascarano on behalf of the 12 defendant, Your Honor, who is present in court. Thank you. THE COURT: Let's talk first about the 13 14 calculations of the guidelines. 15 I have information from, as you all do, the 16 Government with respect to its notice that it is not going 17 to pursue one of what would be three qualifying priors. 18 That leaves two, and one of those two is addressed by the 19 defendant in his position with respect to sentencing. 20 In particular, that memorandum, which was filed on 21 Monday, just this last Monday, October 5th, argues that 2.2 paragraph 36 should not be included because the defendant 23 contends that that was actually for a different person, a 24 person by the name of Levelle Best, and not him.

Then I understand from the Government's submission

25

that the Government intends to try to prove that that's not true, that this Levelle Best really was Mr. Morrison. So that's the issue, first of all, first and foremost, that I'd like to get squared away.

2.2

Mr. Cascarano, you challenge the identity of the defendant identified in paragraph 36. Let me get that in front of me just so that I -- is that the trial, acquitted on a couple counts of sale within a thousand feet, convicted of Count 3, 4, et cetera? Do I have that -- am I remembering right?

MR. CASCARANO: That's the one, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure, come on up.

MR. CASCARANO: As I indicated in my memorandum, Judge, the U.S. Attorney and I have been working jointly to try to come to a resolution on this issue.

I have been provided by Mr. Steinkamp what I believe he is going to introduce as Government's Exhibits 1, 2 and 3. I have gone over those with Mr. Morrison, and Mr. Morrison's contention remains that he is not the person that is enumerated in paragraph 36 with that conviction.

There was -- maybe I should back up. Mr. Morrison has a pending case in Cook County, Chicago. His lawyer there is a person by the name of Edward Austin. I had asked Mr. Austin to attempt to get the photographs of the people that are itemized in the presentence investigation as Earl

1 Norris, Anthony Morrison and Levelle Best, and he indeed 2 served a subpoena on the Chicago Police Department and 3 received photos of Mr. Norris and Mr. Morrison, and indeed 4 there was no photo of Mr. Best. And --5 THE COURT: Mr. who? 6 MR. CASCARANO: Mr. Best. I have a copy of that 7 subpoena. I have -- Mr. Steinkamp has a copy of that as 8 well, and I would like to introduce that as Defendant's 9 Exhibit 1. It simply is the subpoena requesting it and it 10 says: "Levelle Best, Earl Norris, Anthony Morrison" with 11 regard to each case number that reflects the presentence 12 investigation paragraph 36, 37. And the one that's in question here ends in 3408, and that is the photo that was 13 14 unavailable. There's just no photo of Levelle Best that 15 relates to that file. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So it sounds like we are going 17 to have some sort of an evidentiary hearing with respect to 18 whether paragraph 36 applies to the defendant, but not 37? 19 MR. CASCARANO: Correct. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So let me hear from 21 Mr. Steinkamp with respect to 36. 2.2 It's the Government's contention that the 23 individual identified in paragraph 36 is in fact the defendant, correct? 24 25 MR. STEINKAMP: That's correct, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: And the defendant denies that.
2	MR. STEINKAMP: That's correct.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So we must have a hearing on
4	that then.
5	MR. STEINKAMP: Yes, I believe we should, Your
6	Honor, and I think we can based on our discussions, I
7	think we can make it fairly efficient and short.
8	THE COURT: Tell me how.
9	MR. STEINKAMP: First of all, I'd offer
10	Government's Exhibits 1, 2 and 3, which I previously
11	provided to the Court. Do you have your copies of those,
12	Your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Put me on the spot, will you?
14	MR. STEINKAMP: I did include
15	THE COURT: Are those attached to your memorandum?
16	MR. STEINKAMP: They are, yes.
17	THE COURT: Yes, they are.
18	Mr. Cascarano, you've seen all these, right?
19	MR. CASCARANO: I have, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: As has your client?
21	MR. CASCARANO: Yes. I've gone over them. And
22	for evidentiary purposes, I've indicated to Mr. Steinkamp
23	there's no reason to lay any foundation, that we would agree
24	to the admission of those three documents.
25	THE COURT: Exhibit 1 is Minneapolis Police

```
1
       Department Case Supplement dated October 6th?
2
                 MR. STEINKAMP: That's correct, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: So on Monday the -- am I right about
 4
       that, was the 5th Monday?
 5
                 MR. STEINKAMP: The 5th was Monday. I think the
       6th was Tuesday. The report --
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: That's right, because today is the 8th
 8
      and it's Thursday.
 9
                 So here it says: "DEA Task Force sent a
10
       fingerprint card via e-mail bearing the name Levelle Best,
11
       DOB," et cetera, et cetera. "The task force agent requested
12
       that the fingerprint card be compared to Anthony Morrison,"
13
      who is the defendant. "Using CAPRS, a search for Anthony
14
      Morrison was done and a fingerprint card bearing the name
15
      Anthony Steven Morrison was obtained through the BCA
16
       fingerprint database. A fingerprint card bearing Morrison
17
      was compared to the fingerprint card bearing Best, found to
18
      be made by the same individual. Comparison was verified by
19
       F/S" -- I don't know what "F/S" means. That must be some
20
       title.
21
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Forensic Scientist, Your Honor.
2.2
                 THE COURT: Forensic Scientist. "Fingerprint
       cards will be kept on file. Certify this is performed by
23
      us."
24
25
                 Attached to that are a couple pages. We've got
```

```
1
       some fingerprints here. January 13th of '97. That's the
2
       date of that offense, right?
 3
                 MR. STEINKAMP: It is, Your Honor, and there's a
       couple ways I can --
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Well, that's -- the conviction
       material said January 13th. I'm remembering that right. I
 6
 7
       can flip back to --
 8
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Yes.
 9
                 THE COURT: And I know, Mr. Cascarano, that your
10
       client has suffered some burns on his fingers.
11
                 MR. CASCARANO: That is correct, Your Honor.
12
                 THE COURT: That might explain the poor quality of
13
       prints, or maybe not. "Due to the poor quality of prints,
14
       unable to confirm or deny that they are of Morrison."
15
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Yes, Your Honor. Just so you
16
       know, I sent it to both Hennepin County and Minneapolis
17
       Police Department, and I wanted you and Mr. Cascarano to
18
       know that Hennepin County declined to do an examination, but
19
       MPD did not.
20
                 THE COURT: Okay. So then they say -- is Ben, is
21
       he the MPD, "because maybe Ben will have better luck with
2.2
       MPD"?
23
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Exactly. And then --
24
                 THE COURT: Then we go -- further down we're going
25
       to have something from the MPD perhaps.
```

```
1
                 MR. STEINKAMP: MPD is the first two pages, Your
              That's related to the MPD examination.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Cascarano, you have no
       objection to the receipt of Exhibit 1?
 4
 5
                 MR. CASCARANO: I do not.
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That is received.
 6
 7
       And I'll receive Exhibits 2 and 3, because I think you
 8
       already said you didn't object to them, so let's just look
 9
       at what's in there. These also relate to the paragraph 36.
10
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Yes, Your Honor. All the exhibits
11
       relate to 36.
12
                 (Pause - Court is reading)
13
                 THE COURT: Are these from Cook County?
14
                 MR. CASCARANO: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Well, Chicago Police Department.
16
                 THE COURT: Pretty unusual to get anything out of
17
       there, isn't it?
18
                 MR. STEINKAMP: I commend Ms. Heino. She didn't
19
       quit.
20
                 THE COURT: That must have been difficult.
21
                 MR. STEINKAMP: I think --
2.2
                 THE COURT: Yes.
23
                 PROBATION OFFICER HEINO: (Nods head).
24
                 THE COURT: She's saying yes.
25
                 So I don't see -- so in these police reports,
```

1 Exhibit 2, I've got a Levelle Best. That's the first page. 2 Then we've got Earl Norris, possible alias Levelle Best, and 3 then other people: Maurice Elrod, Michael Jackson, Lester Ayers, Anthony White, Jerry Williams. No Anthony Williams. 4 5 Oh, page 3. Anthony Morrison. Did I say Anthony 6 Williams? I meant to say Morrison. 7 So page 3, Anthony Morrison. Chased, ran under a 8 This happened on what day? This is May of '98, so 9 this is a different -- this third page is a different --10 it's a different deal, right? 11 MR. STEINKAMP: That's correct, Your Honor. That 12 has to do with the conviction for aggravated battery, but it's not offered related to the career offender issue on 13 14 that charge. It's offered because there's items in each of 15 those three reports that when looked at in concert will lead 16 the Court, I think, to conclude that the defendant is 17 Levelle Best, and I can explain those to you. If you 18 receive them, I will. 19 THE COURT: I will. I just want to -- before I 20 hear the two of you explain what I should make of these, I 21 want to give some kind of a -- my own best shot to 2.2 understanding what's in there. 23 So now I just have to do Exhibit 3, which I am 24 also receiving, and this is the Cook County Criminal Court 25 Order of Sentence from May of '99. Five years

```
1
       concurrent with -- okay. So this is 97CR, et cetera,
2
       et cetera. Okay. So at least I see this.
 3
                 And then I gather, Mr. Cascarano, you've got an
       exhibit that you want to put in the mix here too, right?
 4
 5
                 MR. CASCARANO: It is, Your Honor. It's just,
 6
       again, the copy of the subpoena served upon the Chicago
 7
       Police Department by Attorney Ed Austin requesting photos of
       the individuals of the three cases that would be predicates
 8
 9
       for the career offender.
10
                 THE COURT: Which is paragraph 36, 37 --
11
                 MR. CASCARANO: And 38.
12
                 THE COURT: -- and 38. You only need two, right?
13
                 Isn't there one of those that you're giving up on,
14
       Mr. Steinkamp?
15
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Yes. We're giving up on 38, Your
16
       Honor, but that subpoena does look for all three of those.
17
                 THE COURT: And you don't have any objection to my
18
       receiving that?
19
                 MR. STEINKAMP: No, absolutely not.
20
                 THE COURT: Okay. I'll receive it.
21
                 MR. CASCARANO: Thank you, Judge.
2.2
                 (Document handed to the Court)
                 THE COURT: And then on this Defendant's Exhibit 1
23
24
       next to Levelle Best it says: "No photo available." Who
25
       wrote that?
```

```
1
                 MR. CASCARANO: Ed Austin wrote that, Judge.
2
       if I may --
 3
                 THE COURT: Hold on. Sounds like a baby's not
 4
       happy out there.
 5
                 Mr. Cascarano?
            (Court security officer rises to exit)
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: That's okay. No, no. I didn't mean
 8
       to make the -- if you can't cry in the hall, where can you
 9
       cry, right?
10
                 MR. CASCARANO: I should indicate to the Court
11
       that I've also shown to Mr. Steinkamp and he has in his
12
       possession the actual pictures that are a result of that,
       which is one of Earl Norris, a/k/a Anthony Morrison, and
13
14
       another picture of Anthony Morrison that reflect paragraphs
15
       37 and 38.
16
                 THE COURT: Okay. And do you want to offer those?
17
                 MR. CASCARANO: No, I don't think that's
18
       necessary, Judge.
19
                 THE COURT: Okay. But Earl Norris, this is -- I
20
       guess we know that from the other documents too, that Earl
21
       Norris is Mr. Morrison, according -- I mean, even according
2.2
       to you, Mr. Cascarano, right?
23
                 MR. CASCARANO: Yes.
24
                 THE COURT: All right. Any other exhibits?
25
                 MR. CASCARANO: Not from the defense. Thank you,
```

1	Your Honor.				
2	THE COURT: Okay. Any other exhibits from the				
3	Government?				
4	MR. STEINKAMP: No, Your Honor.				
5	THE COURT: Any testimony from anybody on these				
6	issues?				
7	MR. CASCARANO: Not from the defense.				
8	MR. STEINKAMP: I believe I can make some				
9	statements that Mr. Cascarano will stipulate as fact;				
10	otherwise, we'll have to call the probation officer, but I				
11	think we can do it without testimony.				
12	THE COURT: Okay. Give it a try.				
13	MR. STEINKAMP: All right. Would you like me to				
14	proceed?				
15	THE COURT: Yes.				
16	So, Mr. Cascarano, I don't know how long this is				
17	all going to take, but you can be seated, and then if he				
18	says anything that you don't agree with, make yourself				
19	known.				
20	MR. CASCARANO: Thank you, Judge.				
21	MR. STEINKAMP: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.				
22	I guess first of all I'd like you, if you would,				
23	to look at Government's Exhibit 2. What's significant about				
24	the three pages there, there's a couple things.				
25	As you note on the first page, that is related to				

the January 13th, '97 arrest, and that is a description of the events, at least in part, that led to the conviction in paragraph 36, and we know that in a couple ways.

2.2

If you look in the top left of the first page of Government's Exhibit 2, you'll see there's an IR number there, do you see that, 1081088? It's in the top left.

It's the second line in the gray shaded area.

THE COURT: I see the number. I can't read that it's an IR -- oh, sure enough, maybe that's what that says, but I do see the 1081088.

MR. STEINKAMP: All right. This is a number that -- this is a factual statement that I'd ask

Mr. Cascarano to stipulate to; otherwise, I can put

Ms. Heino on, but that is a number that stays with an individual. It's given to them by the Chicago Police

Department. It stays with an individual every time they're arrested, and when their fingerprints are submitted, that's how that number is created, so it tracks with a fingerprint.

MR. CASCARANO: No. If I could just state for the record why I'm objecting to that. I contacted -- in anticipation of this hearing, I actually contacted both lawyers, Charles Ingles and Earl Franzen, who are identified in the presentence investigation as the lawyers representing the person in paragraph 37, as well as paragraph 36.

Any objection to that statement, Mr. Cascarano?

Mr. Ingles indicated to me that the IR number would be in the file and it also tracks the individual, so I have no objection to that.

2.2

MR. STEINKAMP: What's important about that, Your Honor, is, that IR number is used on each of the three arrests, the same number. It doesn't change. It's 1081088. When the defendant was arrested as Earl Norris, which he's admitted to, that number was used. When he was arrested as Anthony Morrison, that number was also used.

And that is also important because that is the number that is found, if you look to Government's 1, on the fingerprint card page. It's a little bit faded, but I submit it says: "1081088." You can't see the 8 very well.

THE COURT: Not the first 8. I can see one -- I see where it is and I see nothing inconsistent with 1081088. The 1 is pretty clear and then the 0 is reasonably clear. Then there's -- it's very unclear, and then there's 1088, but --

MR. STEINKAMP: Yes. But that number was created when those prints were made and then that's tracked with the defendant as he's used different names, including his own.

Is that clear? Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any objection to that? You don't have any reason to suspect that this IR number that didn't copy very well is anything different?

1 MR. CASCARANO: I have no objection. 2 THE COURT: And I'm prepared to find that that's 3 what that IR number is on page 2 of Exhibit 1, that that is 4 1081088. 5 MR. STEINKAMP: Now, there's another interesting 6 point to be made between the Levelle Best report and the 7 second report, Earl Norris. 8 If you notice that when he's arrested --9 THE COURT: Now you're on Exhibit 2 again. 10 MR. STEINKAMP: I apologize. Exhibit 2, pages 1 11 and 2, Your Honor. If you look to the top right, it'll say: 12 "Distinguishing marks, scars, disabilities." It's up 13 underneath his height and weight. 14 THE COURT: "RIP LITTLE GEORGE"? 15 MR. STEINKAMP: "[R-I-P] LITTLE GEORGE" is in the 16 Levelle Best report. 17 THE COURT: Oh. 18 MR. STEINKAMP: If you look to the Earl Norris 19 report, which is what the defendant has -- he's admitted to 20 being convicted under that alias name, the exact same 21 tattoos are noted. I submit that's pretty good bolstering 2.2 evidence that along with the fingerprints and along with the 23 other similarities in those reports that it is in fact 24 Levelle Best and you could feel confident in making that

25

conclusion.

1 THE COURT: "Height: 6, Weight: 170; Height: Weight: 150. Hair: Black; Hair: Black. Hairstyle: 2 3 Roll; Hairstyle: Braids. Eyes: Brown; Eyes: Brown. 4 Complexion: Medium; Complexion: Medium." 5 MR. STEINKAMP: All right? THE COURT: All right. 6 7 MR. STEINKAMP: If I can move forward then, if you look to Government's 3, what's significant about that is, at 8 9 the very top of the document where it says: "People of the 10 State of Illinois versus," this is -- the criminal number is 11 the one related to paragraph 36, 97CR0569601. It's got a 12 little 01 next to it. It looks like a degrees or something. 13 But on the top of that document -- and this makes 14 sense because he was sentenced, as Ms. Heino has indicated 15 in the PSR, concurrently with all three cases. It lists as 16 the defendant: "Levelle Best, a/k/a Earl Norris, a/k/a 17 Anthony Morrison." So all the names that are in play here 18 are listed at the top of that document. 19 And then he was -- if you read in the body, he was 20 sentenced to five years concurrent with the cases that he 21 admits are him. So if you look in paragraph --2.2 THE COURT: Just give me one second. I'm going to 23 go back to Government Exhibit 2, and I'm going to turn to 24 page 2, and I'm going to look for a criminal number there,

25

98 something.

1 MR. STEINKAMP: On the arrest report, Your Honor? 2 I don't think you're going to find it there, but you may. I 3 certainly couldn't, but you may. 4 THE COURT: Where might I find it? 5 MR. STEINKAMP: I don't think you will, because 6 that would have -- generally the court records would come --7 be created after the report. I just don't know that they'd 8 go back and --9 THE COURT: Oh, how about this? I look at the 10 date on page 2, the Norris one, and the date of that is 11 February 3rd, and there's a conviction for an action taking 12 place on February 3rd, and that docket is 98CR0761804. is that one of the -- well, that's not one of the concurrent 13 14 ones. Do you see that in the PSR, paragraph 37? 15 (Mr. Steinkamp confers with Ms. Heino) 16 MR. STEINKAMP: If you look on -- in the PSR, 17 paragraph 37, you will see that the criminal number is 18 98CR07618. The defendant number is 04, so that correlates 19 to the court file that he was sentenced on concurrently, 20 98-7618. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So that's one of them. And the 2.2 May of '98, the 13962 -- so he was Defendant No. 1 in that 23 one. 24 MR. STEINKAMP: Yes. There's a single defendant. 25 That's the aggravated battery.

1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that adds up, 2 makes sense. 3 MR. STEINKAMP: So he was sentenced concurrently 4 on the same day in front of the same judge on all three 5 cases, two of which he admits are his identities. submit that there's overwhelming evidence and certainly 6 7 beyond the legal standard of preponderance of evidence to find that he is in fact the Levelle Best that was convicted. 8 9 I really don't have anything else to add. Oh, I 10 do have one other fact to add. I forgot. I talked to the 11 probation officer and she indicated that she actually went 12 to see if there was a Levelle Best that had his own 13 fingerprint number in the system and there was not. 14 Correct? 15 PROBATION OFFICER HEINO: (Shakes head). 16 MR. STEINKAMP: There was no Levelle Best with an 17 IR number that would be independent of the defendant's. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear what Mr. Cascarano 19 has to say. 20 MR. CASCARANO: So I've attempted as best I can to 21 garner documents to at least show to the Court that Levelle 2.2 Best, the sentencing in paragraph 36 is not Mr. Morrison. 23 I should indicate that continuing with that goal, 24 I contacted Mr. Austin again last week, and he was going to 25 order the 1997 file itself as opposed to simply the

photographs that he did previously. He has yet to receive that. However, I should candidly indicate to the Court that he didn't think there'd be much in that file since it was a '97 case. Back in those days it was just paperwork and not as detailed as it is now. However, he did order it. We don't have it.

2.2

I have discussed this issue with Mr. Morrison on literally every occasion that I've seen him out in Sherburne County. He has been insistent that he is not Levelle Best, and he has also indicated to me that he never went to trial on any case as itemized in paragraph 36. In fact, what happened — whoever that person might be went to trial, was found guilty and received this five-year concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: Does he want to testify about that?

MR. CASCARANO: No, he doesn't.

Now, in my further conversations with

Mr. Morrison, he indicated to me that -- and we have not

been able to identify any paperwork with regard to this -
that he was indeed arrested on January 13th, 1997 on a

different case -- it was a mere possession case -- and was

sentenced for that case, and that's why I've asked

Mr. Austin to verify that. I, again, candidly have not been

able to do that. But I understand -- I understand the egg

shells that Mr. Morrison might be walking on, but

1	nevertheless, he still at this date contends that that
2	conviction on paragraph 36 is not his despite the fact of
3	the evidence in Government Exhibits 1, 2 and 3.
4	THE COURT: He understands that he's free to
5	testify about this if he wants to put some evidence in the
6	record about it, right?
7	MR. CASCARANO: He understands that, but we are
8	not going to do that, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morrison, we're talking
10	about you. You know that if you have some evidence you want
11	to give about this, I'd be happy to hear it, right?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And do you want to testify?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to speak about it.
15	THE COURT: But do you want to testify or not?
16	You'll have an opportunity to speak about your sentence.
17	The question here is whether you want to give evidence on
18	this point.
19	Mr. Cascarano, do you want to explain that to him?
20	(Mr. Cascarano and the defendant confer)
21	MR. CASCARANO: Your Honor, in my conversations
22	again with Mr. Morrison, he does not intend to take the
23	stand.
24	THE COURT: That's right, Mr. Morrison?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

```
1
                 THE COURT: Mr. Cascarano, you said that some
2
       records might be being searched for in Chicago. Do you want
       a continuance?
 3
 4
                 MR. CASCARANO: On the one hand, Judge --
 5
                 THE COURT: I just need to know whether you're
 6
       requesting a continuance. Go ahead and say, "On the one
 7
       hand; on the other hand."
                 MR. CASCARANO: Can I just have 30 seconds with
 8
 9
       Mr. --
10
                 THE COURT:
                             Sure.
11
                 (Mr. Cascarano confers with the defendant)
12
                 MR. CASCARANO: For the record, Judge, I've
       discussed this with Mr. Morrison and he would ask the Court
13
14
       to continue the sentencing until Mr. Austin gets the 1997
15
       file.
16
                 THE COURT: Okay. It can't be indefinite.
17
                 MR. CASCARANO: No, I understand that.
18
                 THE COURT: How much time are you asking for?
19
                 MR. CASCARANO: Well -- and that's why I was
20
       hesitating, because Mr. Austin indicated that he would
21
       likely have that file right away, but that was ten days ago,
2.2
       so I don't know what the process is. In fact, he may not
23
       even get the file. I'm leaving town --
24
                 THE COURT: We've rescheduled this so many times
25
       on account of your schedule that we're not -- I mean,
```

1 there's a limit to what we can do here, so I'll give you 2 time, but --3 MR. CASCARANO: I'm going to be out of town, out 4 of the country, from the 18th of October through 5 November 9th. I can do it next week. I'll make 6 arrangements if necessary toward the end of the week. 7 would think --8 THE COURT: Why do you think that's going to be --9 do you need to call the fellow down there and see --10 MR. CASCARANO: I believe that's -- I talked to 11 Mr. Austin yesterday and he thought that he might have the 12 file sometime today. In the morning he was going to call 13 That didn't happen. So I think if we put it on 14 sometime Thursday or Friday next week. 15 THE COURT: You seem to think I have a more open 16 calendar than I do. 17 MR. STEINKAMP: Your Honor, I was -- could I just 18 ask the Court when you're thinking about rescheduling this 19 to? 20 THE COURT: I'm trying to pull up my calendar. 21 The clerk is out of commission today. I think it's 2.2 reasonably clear in the record how many times we've 23 continued this matter at the request of defense counsel, so 24 I will be sympathetic to the Government's scheduling issues 25 if you have any, but hold on one second.

1 MR. CASCARANO: Your Honor, Mr. Morrison has 2 indicated to me that he no longer wants to continue. He'd 3 just prefer to go forward today. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Just a second. Let me at least 5 look and see if I can find the calendar. It says it's loading. "Please wait." That's why 6 we're sitting here doing nothing. 7 8 Okay. Monday is a holiday and I've got some time 9 on Thursday the 15th or Friday the 16th. Mr. Cascarano, I 10 know -- you don't leave the country before that? 11 MR. CASCARANO: No, that's fine, Judge. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Steinkamp, what does your 13 life look like? In this regard. 14 (Laughter) 15 MR. STEINKAMP: Your Honor, I don't have -- I can 16 get coverage, so -- I want this thing to get resolved, so 17 whatever you -- if you want to schedule it at a certain 18 time. The only thing is next week Ms. Heino is not 19 available, so I think if we don't schedule it next week, we 20 will be at the end of November, is that correct? 21 MR. CASCARANO: No, I could -- I will be back in 2.2 my office on November 9th. Ninth, 10th, 11th. 23 MR. STEINKAMP: I'd prefer it if you would 24 schedule it after November 9th if it's going to be 25 continued, but if the Court needs it to be sooner, the

```
1
       Government will have a prosecutor present. Ms. Heino said
2
       she'd get a probation officer here to cover the hearing.
 3
       But it sounds like we may not need a continuance --
 4
                 THE COURT: Okay. Just hold on. See, now you're
 5
       into my area.
                                That's correct.
 6
                 MR. STEINKAMP:
                                                  I'm sorry.
 7
                 MR. CASCARANO: Mr. Morrison has changed his mind.
       He wants to continue it.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Hold on a second. I don't know if
10
       that's a trial or what, but I could make time for you the
11
       week of November 9th. I'm not exactly sure when, but it
12
       doesn't seem right to have one lawyer and one witness start
13
       the hearing and then somebody else try and sweep it up. So
14
       we'll not worry about the scheduling right now. Right now
15
       I'm going to hear from Mr. Cascarano and possibly
16
       Mr. Morrison.
17
                 All right. Mr. Cascarano.
18
                 MR. CASCARANO: I have talked to Mr. Morrison.
                                                                 Не
19
       has changed his mind. He would like to continue it. I
20
       don't know what else --
21
                 THE COURT: He what?
2.2
                 MR. CASCARANO: He would like the matter
       continued.
23
24
                 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morrison, come on up to the
25
       podium.
```

(Defendant approaches podium)

EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

2.2

Q. You have a right to be sentenced within the limits of the Speedy Trial Act. Your sentencing has been continued beyond what you might very well feel is fair or reasonable.

I'm now faced with a request where here we are in court for sentencing addressing an issue that has only really been fleshed out in the last few days and now we have another request for a continuance. I will do that, but you have to understand that that has an impact on your speedy trial rights. And if you want to do that and you want to waive your rights under the Speedy Trial Act so that we can continue this matter, I will do it, but I need to know that that's what you want. Is that what you want?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you maintain that the individual who was convicted in 1999, February 11th of 1999, as reflected in paragraph 36 of the presentence investigation report, is not you.
- A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And are you aware of the statement in the Government's sentencing memorandum about the circumstances under which it would feel that it was not bound by its plea agreement recommendation that you get the acceptance of responsibility

- 1 points?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. So you've read that, so you've read everything that's
- 4 been submitted. And you know that you have the opportunity
- 5 to testify about this if you want to?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. And you've decided that you don't have any evidence that
- 8 you want to give.
- 9 A. To be honest, I would rather just wait to get the paper
- and be prepared instead of saying something and not being
- able to back it up. I just really want to be prepared to go
- 12 forward.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you might want to testify, you just don't want
- 14 to testify today.
- 15 A. Really, Your Honor, I just wanted like at least a week
- 16 to get the paperwork from the attorney in Chicago. I didn't
- want it to be so long or mess up the court date today
- 18 | because I really wanted to get it done today, but I don't
- 19 | feel like I'm all the way prepared.
- 20 Q. Okay. So my question is whether you want to testify.
- 21 If you do, we've got time. I'll take your testimony here
- 22 and now. But what you're saying is that you don't know
- whether want to testify or not. You might want to testify,
- 24 | but you want to wait and see what the papers say, right? So
- 25 you'll make a decision about whether you want to testify

about this limited issue in the future, but you're not

prepared to make that decision today? We might as well get

done what we can get done today, so I'm trying to, you

know --

- A. I understand.
- Q. So as you stand here today, do you want to testify or not?
- 8 A. No, ma'am.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 9 Q. And if you don't, do you want to reserve that decision 10 for later to see what the papers say?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. All right. Why don't you go ahead and be seated.

 13 (Defendant seated)

THE COURT: Well, as long as we're here, we haven't even worked our way through the rest of the presentence investigation report, so let's find out right now so we don't have any surprises in the future whether there are additional objections that need to be addressed with respect to the presentence investigation report.

Mr. Steinkamp.

MR. STEINKAMP: Since this presentence report was prepared the Supreme Court decided *Johnson*, and the Department of Justice has made some policy decisions regarding how we handle crimes of violence as they affect the career offender guidelines. And so with that in mind, I

```
1
       know you've read my memorandum saying that we are not
       persisting in our claim that the aggravated battery charge
2
 3
       set forth in paragraph 38 is a crime of violence, so I guess
 4
       technically there's an objection to that by the Government,
 5
       that that be included in the presentence report.
                 THE COURT: Well, it's included, but it's not
 6
       going to be counted as a predicate.
 7
                 MR. STEINKAMP: I quess that would be the
 8
 9
       objection, that it be counted. I think it says now that it
10
       is counted, and that's just our position.
11
                 THE COURT: No, I'm not going to count it.
12
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Okay. That's all -- really,
       that's all I have.
13
14
                 THE COURT: I mean, maybe -- and I think the
15
       Probation Office is okay with that. Maybe there's
16
       information that would support it, but you're not pursuing
17
       it, so we'll just say that's the end of that.
18
                 MR. STEINKAMP: All right. Thank you.
                                                         I don't
19
       have any additions or corrections. You've already noted the
20
       possible change in my position on acceptance of
21
       responsibility, so thank you.
2.2
                 THE COURT: And is there anything more you want to
       articulate about that?
23
24
                 MR. STEINKAMP: Well, I know our plea agreement
25
       did anticipate that if the defendant took a position -- as
```

long as he took a position that was consistent with acceptance of responsibility we would most likely have moved for the additional two points. And frankly, I'd submit that taking the position that he's not an individual that I submit the evidence shows he is and has been convicted under the identity of is not accepting responsibility, because it goes directly to the length of his sentence.

So thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Doesn't the prison system down there have some fingerprints from the person they incarcerated as a result of that?

MR. STEINKAMP: My understanding in speaking with Ms. Heino, she called the Department of Corrections and they gave her what they could give her. She's really done a good job of turning over rocks in this case. Of course, nobody wants somebody to be assessed penalties when they're innocent, but I submit on this record you could find easily that Defendant was convicted as Levelle Best.

THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Cascarano, any other objections to the presentence investigation report?

MR. CASCARANO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do either of you have any objection if I take at least a little testimony from Ms. Heino right now as long as we know she's here? I find myself asking you both questions and it just might be easier

for me to ask Ms. Heino.

Well, let me just tell you what I wonder and you can tell me, and then the folks can tell me whether they want you to be sworn.

EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

2.2

- Q. Someone was convicted by a jury in 1999 of these crimes that are in paragraph 36, and then there was this sentence of imprisonment, but of course it was concurrent, so it would be the defendant who was incarcerated on that five years anyway, wouldn't it, because we know that he's the one under Norris and Morrison and those sentences were together.
- A. Correct. I did call the Department of Corrections.
- 14 Q. What did they say?
 - A. I don't know that they had fingerprints, but I asked them to look at what sentences Anthony Morrison was serving when he was committed to the Department of Corrections in 1999, and they gave me all three case numbers that are the three case numbers listed on all the court paperwork under the name of Anthony Morrison, Earl Norris, and under Levelle Best. So the Department of Corrections confirmed that the individual known as Anthony Morrison was serving the sentence for the docket under the name of Levelle Best.
 - Q. Thank you. And you looked for records of a Levelle Best in Illinois, or in the country, or -- you heard

- 1 Mr. Steinkamp say something about what you've done. Can you just tell us in your own words what you did?
- 3 A. So yesterday I had a record check run, the same record
- 4 check that connected all these arrests to Anthony Morrison.
- 5 I asked that the second check be done under the name Levelle
- 6 Best with the date of birth given in that first arrest from
- January 1997, and the only record that came up of Levelle
- 8 | Best was Anthony Morrison. I did not find a separate record
- 9 of a Levelle Best who had been connected to that arrest or
- 10 to any other arrest that would have been fingerprinted in
- 11 Chicago ever.
- 12 Q. How about any indications that Mr. Morrison has ever
- used the alias Best or Levelle?
- 14 A. All three of these records under Anthony Morrison, Earl
- Norris and Levelle Best are all connected to him by
- 16 | fingerprints through the -- I think it's called the
- 17 Integrated --
- 18 Q. No, but other than that. The defendant has many, many
- 19 convictions and arrests and some are under aliases. Is
- 20 there any indication that he has ever used -- I mean,
- 21 setting aside this, whether he used it or not, but setting
- 22 this aside, that he's ever used an alias with the last name
- 23 Best?
- 24 A. He did not admit that to me. He has admitted using Earl
- 25 Norris and there is documentation to Earl Norris arrests

1 with an alternate alias of Levelle Best, and Government 2 Exhibit 3, the judgment from the conviction at issue, lists 3 all three names. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 A. You're welcome. THE COURT: I think that's all the questions I 6 7 have. 8 Mr. Steinkamp, do you have a thought? 9 MR. STEINKAMP: I have one more question, if I 10 could ask, of the probation officer. 11 And you're not objecting to this, Mr. Cascarano? 12 MR. CASCARANO: No, not at all. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. STEINKAMP: 15 Q. Does Mr. Morrison have a tattoo that says: "RIP Little 16 George" on his arm? 17 A. Yes, he does. 18 O. You've seen it? 19 I've seen pictures, two different pictures of it, and it 20 is in my notes from my original interview with Mr. Morrison. Q. Okay. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Okay. So do you have that tattoo, Mr. Morrison? 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

1	All right. We will be in recess now, but
2	Mr. Cascarano, before you leave the building I want this
3	matter back on the calendar. We can't afford to have I
4	can't have my calendar clerk running around trying to get
5	ahold of people again. It's just been too difficult in this
6	case.
7	So because she is unavailable today, but you're
8	here and I'm not letting you out of here without
9	rescheduling, I'm going to have you and Mr. Steinkamp and
10	Ms. Heino come back and I'll find somebody back there who
11	can work this schedule for you.
12	MR. CASCARANO: That's fine, Judge.
13	THE COURT: All right. We're in recess.
14	(Proceedings concluded at 3:42 p.m.)
15	* * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX EXHIBITS

NUMBER	FOR ID	IN EVIDENC	E
Government 1 - 3		8	
Defendant 1		1.0	

CERTIFICATE

I, TIMOTHY J. WILLETTE, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes, taken in the aforementioned matter, to the best of my skill and ability.

/s/ Timothy J. Willette

TIMOTHY J. WILLETTE, RDR, CRR, CBC, CCP
Official Court Reporter - U.S. District Court
1005 United States Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415-2247
612.664.5108